

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,072	01/21/2005	Charles Jelinek Francis	CJEL102	7588
33310 75	590 06/26/2006		EXAM	INER
EDWIN A. SKOCH II			KAVANAUGH, JOHN T	
67 WALL STR	EET, 22ND FLOOR			
PMB#0029 NEW YORK, NY 10005-3198			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
		DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{E}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/522,072	FRANCIS, CHARLES JELINEK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ted Kavanaugh	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replace note that the second	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	·					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	,	•				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in Ap	plication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not r	eceived.				
Attachment(s)	" 	(DTO 440)				
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-6-05&7-13-05.		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/522,072 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-16 are objected to because of the following informalities: The following terms throughout lack proper antecedent basis "the underside of the ski boots" (throughout the claims), "the underside of the footbed" (throughout the claims), "the walking motion" (throughout the claims), "said arch's apex" (claim 10), "the front or rear edge of the sole" (claim 12), "the topside" (claim 13), "the foot portion" (claim 14), "the toe and heel of the sole" (claim 15), and "the length" (claim 15). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4619059 (Koniuk).

Koniuk teaches an apparatus as claimed including a footbed (see figures 5-8) and attachment means (24).

4. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4843672 (Fasse).

Fasse teaches an apparatus as claimed including a footbed (12), attachment means (5,7) and a lengthwise stiffening member (outer skin 11). The outerskin is stiffer (more rigid) than the footbed.

5. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5481814 (Spencer).

Spencer teaches an apparatus having structure as claimed including a footbed separated in front and rear section (see figure 3a), connection means (20) and an elastomeric tread (32)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3,4, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koniuk in view of US 4461104 (Calkin et al).

Koniuk teaches an apparatus substantially as claimed except for an elastomeric tread pads connected to the underside of the footbed. Calking teaches an elastomeric tread pad (26,28) on the underside of the footbed. It would have been obvious to provide the footbed of Koniuk with an elastomeric tread pads on the underside, as taught by Calkin, to provide more traction.

8. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koniuk in view of US 4461104 (Calkin et al).

Koniuk teaches an apparatus substantially as claimed including a footbed (underside 22 of outerskin 30) and a pad (32) made out of resilient elastomeric material except for an elastomeric tread pads connected to the underside of the footbed.

Calking teaches an elastomeric tread pad (26,28) on the underside of the footbed. It would have been obvious to provide the footbed of Koniuk with an elastomeric tread pads on the underside, as taught by Calkin, to provide more traction.

9. Claims 7,8,10 and 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4570363 (Annovi) in view of US 4461104 (Calkin et al).

Annovi teaches an apparatus substantially as claimed including a footbed (9) having a molded arch (reference numeral 9 is pointing to the arch in figure 2), a clip (12,14), and a lip (11) except for elastomeric tread pads connected to the underside of the footbed. Calking teaches an elastomeric tread pad (26,28) on the underside of the footbed. It would have been obvious to provide the footbed of Annovi with an elastomeric tread pads on the underside, as taught by Calkin, to provide more traction.

10. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koniuk in view of Calkin '104 and US 5154682 (Kellerman).

Koniuk teaches an apparatus substantially as claimed except for an elastomeric tread pads connected to the underside of the footbed and a slippery coating on the top side of said footbed. Calking teaches an elastomeric tread pad (26,28) on the underside of the footbed. It would have been obvious to provide the footbed of Koniuk with an elastomeric tread pads on the underside, as taught by Calkin, to provide more traction. Kellerman teaches the top side of an attachment with a slippery coating (see col. 5,

Application/Control Number: 10/522,072 Page 5

Art Unit: 3728

lines 45-49). It would have been obvious to provide the apparatus as taught above with the top side having a slippery coating, as taught by Kellerman to prevent discomfort to the wearer's foot.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and overcoming the objections applied above.

Conclusion

- **12.** The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 13. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including:
- -"The reply must present arguments pointing out the *specific* distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references."
- --"A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section."
- -Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims. Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06" MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,072 Page 6

Art Unit: 3728

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be

obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at <u>(571) 273-8300</u> (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner <u>Ted Kavanaugh</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u>

at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Ted Kavanaugh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4556. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562.

Ted Kavaraugh-Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

TK June 16, 2006